Determination of Pressure-Temperature Conditions of Retrograde Symplectic Assemblages in Granulites and Amphibolites

Chun-Ming Wu^{*}, Jun-Sheng Lu and Guo-Dong Wang

College of Earth Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 4588, Beijing 100049, China

Abstract: Symplectites form during post-orogenic fast uplift processes in orogenic belts, and retrograde Symplectic assemblages mainly consist of plagioclase + quartz \pm orthopyroxene \pm clinopyroxene in mafic granulites or plagioclase + hornblende + quartz \pm biotite in amphibolites, usually rimming relict garnet porphyroblasts. Such Symplectic assemblages resulted from retrograde reactions between garnet and other peak-metamorphic minerals by nearly isothermal decompression (ITD), so the Symplectic mineral assemblages could not be at equilibrium with the relict garnet rims and thus the so-called "local equilibrium" between garnet rims and the Symplectic assemblages does not exist. Thus, the *P-T* conditions of the Symplectic assemblages are best determined using only the Symplectic mineral compositions. This is best accomplished using garnet-free thermobarometers, i.e., two-pyroxene or hornblende-plagioclase-quartz geobarometer to minerals within the symplectites. Taking two mafic granulite samples and two amphibolite samples as examples, reasonable ITD *P-T* paths from the metamorphic peaks to retrogression stages have been derived, but *P-T* paths obtained using the relict garnet rims and the Symplectic minerals gave anomalous results. These examples demonstrate that the *P-T* conditions of the retrograde Symplectic assemblages cannot be estimated using chemical compositions of the decomposed, relict garnet rims in this case.

Keywords: Amphibolite, disequilibrium, geobarometer, geothermometer, granulite.

1. INTRODUCTION

Accurately retrieving metamorphic P-T paths is important for understanding the tectonothermal evolution of orogenic belts, since different P-T paths can record different tectonic processes. For example, the clockwise, western Alpine type P-T paths result from subduction or continental collisional zones [1] and are characterized by prograde and peak metamorphism followed by nearly isothermal decompression (ITD) segments. Such P-T paths are related to crustal over thickening followed by erosional exhumation and/or extensional thinning and may be caused by either tectonic unroofing during post-orogenic collapse, such as in extensional terranes, or by very rapid erosion [1-4]. Examples of these P-T paths come from the Tauern Window [5] and the Palaeoproterozoic Trans-North China Orogen [6-9]. The clockwise, Franciscan type P-T paths are characterized by retrograde return paths being generally parallel to the prograde P-T trajectories [1] and these P-T paths are seen in the Franciscan amphibolites [10], the Late Archean basement rocks in the Eastern and Western Blocks of the North China Craton [11,12], Spain [13], and New Zealand [14]. Anticlockwise P-T paths, on the contrary, are related to the emplacement of plutons [15,16] or have formed in or beneath areas of voluminous magmatic accretion with or without crustal extension [2].

Metamorphic *P*-*T* paths are recovered using (1) equilibrium thermodynamically modeled phase relations or (2) by conventional geothermobarometry. Metamorphic P-T paths may be reconstructed from Isochemical P-T sections (P-T pseudosections) using modeling software, such as GIBBS [17,18]. THERMOCALC [19], Perple X [20] or THERIA G [21]. The *P*-*T* paths are inferred by comparing the sequential appearance of different mineral assemblages preserved in metamorphic rocks with the calculated assemblages [14, 22-37]. Alternatively, geothermobarometers are applied to mineral assemblages of different metamorphic stages to construct the P-T paths [6-8, 9, 13, 38-56]. In any case, metamorphic P-T paths are determined on the basis of at least two mineral assemblages formed at different metamorphic stages, or alternatively, from mineral zoning or changing mineral abundance without any change in assemblage.

It should be stated that thermobarometry of highgrade rocks suffers greatly from partial retrograde reequilibration (particularly but not restricted to Fe-Mg exchange) leading to erroneous *P-T* results. Conversely, although pseudosections avoid the problems of retrograde re-equilibration, they have problems associated with changing bulk composition, such as melt loss or gain.

Rocks that have undergone post-collisional, fast uplift may produce and preserve retrograde textures including symplectites or coronas. In garnet-bearing

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the College of Earth Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 4588, Beijing 100049, China; Tel: +86 10 8825 6312; Fax: +86 10 8825 6012; E-mail: wucm@ucas.ac.cn

mafic granulites or amphibolites, such processes usually produce the so-called "white-eye socket" Symplectic assemblages [57, 58] consisting of plagioclase + quartz ± orthopyroxene ± clinopyroxene (in mafic granulites) or plagioclase + hornblende + quartz ± biotite (in amphibolites) rimming relict garnet porphyroblasts. Such Symplectic assemblages are common in mafic granulites and commonly indicate isothermal decompression into the orthopyroxenebearing, medium-P granulite facies [2, 59]. Decompression is suggested by the increase in Ca content in the newly-formed Symplectic plagioclase combined with the decrease in Ca in adjacent garnet rims [60]. It is quite necessary to estimate accurately P-T conditions of such retrograde Symplectic assemblages in order to understand accurately orogenic processes. In theory, P-T conditions of such assemblages should be determined using the chemical compositions of the Symplectic assemblages. Unfortunately, there is a wrong but ubiquitous routine work in determining the P-T conditions of the retrograde assemblages: almost all over the world, workers suppose that there exist the socalled "local equilibrium" between the older generation minerals (e.g., garnet) and the younger mineral assemblages (e.g., the retrograde minerals in corona or symplectite), thus estimate the retrograde P-T conditions by applying thermobarometry using the mineral compositions of both the garnet rim and minerals in the corona or symplectite, as done in the literature elsewhere for mafic granulites [39,41,48,60-85]. Not surprisingly, one always obtains incorrect retrograde P-T conditions and accordingly, derived bias conclusions concerning tectono-metamorphic processes. Correctly computing P-T conditions of retrograde assemblages is quite scarce hitherto, to our knowledge.

Such retrograde "white-eye socket" Symplectic assemblages have been found to be present both in the mafic granulite enclaves in TTG gneisses [6-9,47,58] elsewhere in the Trans-North China Orogen (TNCO) [86, 87], as well as mafic granulites in Antarctica [28]. In this paper, we have determined the P-T conditions of such retrograde Symplectic assemblages using the chemical compositions of the minerals in the symplectite assemblages themselves and the results show that erroneous P-T conditions have been obtained where using garnet rims in thermobarometric computation.

In this work, symbols of minerals are adopted from the scheme of Whitney and Evans [88]. Abbreviations of geothermobarometers used hereafter are as follows: CPQ= the clinopyroxene – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [89].

COPQ= the two – pyroxene geothermometer [90] paired with the clinopyroxene – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [89].

GCPQ= the garnet – clinopyroxene geothermometer [91] combined with the garnet – clinopyroxene – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [92].

GHPQ= the plagioclase – hornblende thermometer [93] coupled with the garnet – hornblende – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [94].

GOPQ= the garnet – orthopyroxene geothermometer adjoined the garnet – orthopyroxene – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [95].

HPQ= plagioclase – hornblende geothermometer [93] fixed with the hornblende – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [96].

Chemical compositions of the minerals were determined using a wavelength dispersive electron microprobe Cameca SX51 equipped at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. The analytical conditions were 15 kV accelerating voltage, 20 nA beam current, 5 µm electron beam diameter and 20 seconds of counting time. The program PAP was used for matrix corrections. Reported mineral compositions are averaged values of up to twenty spot analyses for every component. Ferric contents of garnet. orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene were determined according to the method of Droop [97]. For amphibole, ferric iron contents were determined according to the method of Holland and Blundy [93]. Chemical compositions of the minerals used for the P-T determinations are listed in Tables 1-4.

2. MAFIC GRANULITES

2.1. Mafic Granulite Sample Lu02

In eastern Shandong Province, mafic granulites, amphibolites and metamorphic ultramafic rocks are exposed as discontinuous boudins or lenses within the late Archean TTG gneisses [55], basement of the North China Craton [86]. Sample Lu02 is a high-pressure mafic granulite enclave in TTG gneiss and was collected from the Jiaobei terrane and in this sample three generations of metamorphic assemblages are recognized (Figure **1a**). Weak chemical zoning of the

Metamorphic Peak Assemblage				Resorbed Residual	Retrograde Assemblage		
Grt2	Cpx2	Pl2	Hbl2	Grt rim	Cpx3	Hbl3	PI3
39.18	49.94	59.03	40.43	39.17	51.03	40.96	56.49
0.08	0.47	0.01	2.45	0.06	0.26	2.00	0.01
21.32	4.21	25.52	12.87	21.25	2.61	13.41	27.13
22.52	13.11	0.12	19.59	24.65	12.48	18.82	0.14
0.78	0.32	0.01	0.22	1.83	0.38	0.23	0.00
2.93	10.21	0.01	7.62	3.17	10.71	7.86	0.01
14.16	20.67	7.48	11.18	10.95	21.44	11.30	9.51
0.01	0.68	7.24	2.36	0.04	0.44	2.25	6.02
0.00	0.02	0.17	0.85	0.00	0.01	0.83	0.12
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.13	0.01
0.00	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00
101.01	99.69	99.61	97.64	101.14	99.42	97.81	99.44
		(Cations Per F	ormula Unit			
3.03	1.90	2.65	6.19	3.04	1.95	6.23	2.55
0.00	0.01	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.00
1.94	0.19	1.35	2.32	1.95	0.12	2.40	1.44
1.46	0.38	0.00	2.43	1.60	0.39	2.33	0.00
0.00	0.04	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.00
0.05	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.12	0.01	0.03	0.00
0.34	0.58	0.00	1.74	0.37	0.61	1.78	0.00
1.17	0.84	0.36	1.83	0.91	0.88	1.84	0.46
0.00	0.05	0.63	0.70	0.01	0.03	0.66	0.53
0.00	0.00	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.01
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8.00	4.00	5.00	15.78	8.00	4.00	15.72	4.99
0.48				0.53			
0.11				0.12			
0.39				0.30			
0.02				0.04			
	Grt2 39.18 0.08 21.32 22.52 0.78 2.93 14.16 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 101.01 3.03 0.00 1.94 1.46 0.00 0.00 1.94 1.46 0.00 0.05 0.34 1.17 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 1.94 1.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.0	Grt2 Cpx2 39.18 49.94 0.08 0.47 21.32 4.21 22.52 13.11 0.78 0.32 2.93 10.21 14.16 20.67 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.02 101.01 99.69 3.03 1.90 0.00 0.01 1.94 0.19 1.46 0.38 0.00 0.04 0.05 0.01 0.34 0.58 1.17 0.84 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Grt2 Cpx2 Pl2 39.18 49.94 59.03 0.08 0.47 0.01 21.32 4.21 25.52 22.52 13.11 0.12 0.78 0.32 0.01 2.93 10.21 0.01 14.16 20.67 7.48 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.00 0.02 0.01 101.01 99.69 99.61 C 3.03 1.90 2.65 0.00 0.01 0.00 1.94 0.19 1.35 1.46 0.38 0.00 0.05 0.01 0.00 0.05 0.01 0.00 0.34 0.58 0.00 1.17 0.84 0.36 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00<	Grt2 Cpx2 PI2 Hbl2 39.18 49.94 59.03 40.43 0.08 0.47 0.01 2.45 21.32 4.21 25.52 12.87 22.52 13.11 0.12 19.59 0.78 0.32 0.01 0.22 2.93 10.21 0.01 7.62 14.16 20.67 7.48 11.18 0.01 0.68 7.24 2.36 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.85 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.85 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 101.01 99.69 99.61 97.64 Cattors Per F 3.03 1.90 2.65 6.19 0.00 0.01 0.00 2.43 0.00 0.04 0.00 0.08 0.05	Grt2 Cpx2 PI2 Hbl2 Grt rim 39.18 49.94 59.03 40.43 39.17 0.08 0.47 0.01 2.45 0.06 21.32 4.21 25.52 12.87 21.25 22.52 13.11 0.12 19.59 24.65 0.78 0.32 0.01 0.22 1.83 2.93 10.21 0.01 7.62 3.17 14.16 20.67 7.48 11.18 10.95 0.01 0.68 7.24 2.36 0.04 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.85 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.85 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.	Grt2 Cpx2 P12 Hbl2 Grt rim Cpx3 39.18 49.94 59.03 40.43 39.17 51.03 0.08 0.47 0.01 2.45 0.06 0.26 21.32 4.21 25.52 12.87 21.25 2.61 22.52 13.11 0.12 19.59 24.65 12.48 0.78 0.32 0.01 0.22 1.83 0.38 2.93 10.21 0.01 7.62 3.17 10.71 14.16 20.67 7.48 11.18 10.95 21.44 0.01 0.68 7.24 2.36 0.04 0.44 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.85 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.01 0.01 9.61 97.64 101.14 99.42 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.01 1.01.1 99.61 97.64 101.14	Grt2 Cpx2 PI2 HbI2 Grt rim Cpx3 HbI3 39.18 49.94 59.03 40.43 39.17 51.03 40.96 0.08 0.47 0.01 2.45 0.06 0.26 2.00 21.32 4.21 25.52 12.87 21.25 2.61 13.41 22.52 13.11 0.12 19.59 24.65 12.48 18.82 0.78 0.32 0.01 0.22 1.83 0.38 0.23 2.93 10.21 0.01 7.62 3.17 10.71 7.86 14.16 20.67 7.48 11.18 10.95 21.44 11.30 0.01 0.68 7.24 2.36 0.00 0.01 0.83 0.00 0.02 0.17 0.85 0.00 0.01 0.83 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.01 0.02 10.10 99.69 99.61 97.64

Table 1: Representative EMPA Data for Minerals in Mafic Granulite Sample LU02 Shown in Figure 1a

Table 2: Representative EMPA Data for Minerals in Mafic Granulite Sample EP1-12 Shown in Figure 1c

	Matrix Assemblage (M2)					Symplectite Assemblage (M3)			
	Grt₂	Pl ₂	Hbl₂	Cpx ₂	PI ₃	Hbl₃	Cpx₃	Opx₃	
SiO ₂	38.30	53.39	44.43	48.76	45.17	45.57	51.80	51.35	
TiO ₂	0.04	0.03	1.27	0.56	0.00	0.90	0.23	0.00	
Al ₂ O ₃	21.17	28.66	10.96	5.66	33.84	10.22	2.31	1.74	
FeO(tot)	25.99	0.16	14.39	10.08	0.24	14.10	9.01	26.23	
MnO	0.84	0.00	0.07	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.14	0.17	

		Matr	Symple	Symplectite Assemblage (M3)				
	Grt₂	Pl ₂	Hbl ₂	Cpx ₂	Pl ₃	Hbl ₃	Cpx ₃	Opx₃
MgO	6.13	0.00	11.90	11.65	0.01	12.32	13.43	19.96
CaO	7.36	11.86	11.95	21.73	18.74	12.07	23.29	0.40
Na ₂ O	0.00	5.73	1.57	0.58	1.25	1.38	0.37	0.00
K ₂ O	0.00	0.07	0.39	0.01	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00
ZnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.13	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.09	0.07	0.03
NiO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	99.92	99.90	97.01	99.13	99.25	96.89	100.65	99.88
		•	Catio	ons Per Formu	lar Unit	•		
Si	2.980	2.428	6.572	1.837	2.105	6.713	1.917	1.943
Ti	0.000	0.001	0.141	0.016	0.000	0.100	0.006	0.000
AI	1.940	1.535	1.911	0.252	1.857	1.775	0.101	0.078
Fe ²⁺	1.606	0.006	1.529	0.234	0.009	1.481	0.202	0.796
Fe ³⁺	0.085	0.000	0.251	0.084	0.000	0.257	0.077	0.034
Mn	0.055	0.000	0.009	0.003	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.005
Mg	0.711	0.000	2.623	0.654	0.001	2.705	0.741	1.126
Са	0.614	0.578	1.894	0.877	0.936	1.905	0.923	0.016
Na	0.000	0.505	0.450	0.042	0.113	0.394	0.027	0.000
к	0.000	0.000	0.074	0.000	0.000	0.041	0.000	0.000
Zn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Cr	0.008	0.000	0.009	0.001	0.000	0.010	0.002	0.001
Ni	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
X(alm)	0.538							
X(pyr)	0.238							
X(gros)	0.206							
X(sps)	0.018							
		a ==	[1	1	<u> </u>
X(An)		0.53			0.89			

(Table 2). Continued

Table 3: Representative EMPA Data for Minerals in Amphibolite Sample HB32D Shown in Figure 2a

	Matrix Assemblage (M2)			Symp	olectite Assemblage	e (M3)
	Grt ₂	Pl ₂	Hbl ₂	Cpx ₂	PI ₃	Hbl₃
SiO ₂	36.48	57.94	44.86	51.74	57.46	44.96
TiO ₂	0.05	0.02	1.37	0.24	0.03	1.46
Al ₂ O ₃	21.08	26.57	11.46	3.51	27.27	11.96
FeO(tot)	24.27	0.10	13.73	8.28	0.04	13.65
MnO	0.27	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.01

(Table 3). Continued	
	Matrix Ass

	Ma	atrix Assemblage (N	12)	Symplectite Assemblage (M3)			
	Grt ₂	Pl ₂	Hbl ₂	Cpx ₂	PI ₃	Hbl₃	
MgO	4.32	0.01	11.76	12.49	0.00	11.97	
CaO	12.84	8.38	11.53	22.96	9.03	11.56	
Na₂O	0.01	6.93	1.61	0.61	6.52	1.69	
K ₂ O	0.00	0.17	0.58	0.04	0.14	0.59	
ZnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.03	
NiO	0.03	0.00	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.06	
Total	99.4	100.2	97.0	99.9	100.6	98.0	
		Cat	ions Per Formular	Unit	I		
Si	2.86	2.59	6.63	1.93	2.56	6.57	
Ti	0.01	0.00	0.15	0.01	0.00	0.16	
Al	1.95	1.40	2.00	0.15	1.43	2.06	
Fe ²⁺	1.28	0.00	0.55	0.23	0.00	0.46	
Fe ^{³+}	0.33	0.01	1.15	0.03	0.00	1.21	
Mn	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Mg	0.50	0.00	2.59	0.69	0.00	2.61	
Са	1.08	0.40	1.83	0.92	0.43	1.81	
Na	0.00	0.60	0.46	0.04	0.56	0.48	
К	0.00	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.11	
Zn	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Cr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Ni	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	
X(alm)	0.44						
X(pyr)	0.18						
X(gros)	0.37						
X(sps)	0.01						
X(An)		0.40			0.43		

Table 4: Representative EMPA Data for Minerals in Amphibolite Sample HN424 Shown in Figure 2c

	Matrix Assemblage (M2)			Symplectite Assemblage (M3)		
	Grt ₂	Pl ₂	Hbl ₂	Срх₃	Pl ₃	Hbl₃
SiO ₂	34.94	58.68	41.87	51.68	59.30	42.60
TiO ₂	0.06	0.03	2.12	0.17	0.05	2.06
Al ₂ O ₃	21.15	26.50	11.64	1.47	25.82	11.13
FeO(tot)	28.85	0.04	20.17	13.11	0.09	19.83
MnO	2.37	0.01	0.23	0.35	0.02	0.24
MgO	3.63	0.00	8.13	11.51	0.01	8.60
CaO	7.53	7.86	11.26	21.79	7.44	11.43
Na ₂ O	0.06	7.16	1.87	0.41	7.39	1.75
K ₂ O	0.00	0.17	1.06	0.00	0.21	1.09

(Table 4). Continued

	Matrix Assemblage (M2)			Symplectite Assemblage (M3)		
	Grt ₂	Pl ₂	Hbl ₂	Cpx ₃	PI ₃	Hbl ₃
ZnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.01	0.02
NiO	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	99.8	100.5	98.4	100.6	100.3	98.7
		Cat	ions Per Formular	Unit		
Si	2.80	2.61	6.33	1.95	2.64	6.40
Ti	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.23
AI	2.00	1.39	2.08	0.07	1.35	1.97
Fe ²⁺	1.58	0.00	1.00	0.36	0.00	1.04
Fe ³⁺	0.39	0.00	1.55	0.06	0.00	1.45
Mn	0.16	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03
Mg	0.43	0.00	1.83	0.65	0.00	1.93
Са	0.65	0.37	1.82	0.88	0.35	1.84
Na	0.01	0.62	0.55	0.03	0.64	0.51
К	0.00	0.01	0.21	0.00	0.01	0.21
Zn	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ni	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
X(alm)	0.56					
X(pyr)	0.15					
X(gros)	0.23					
X(sps)	0.06					
X(An)		0.37			0.35	

garnet was detected. The inclusion assemblage (M1) within garnet porphyroblast is mainly consisted of clinopyroxene + hornblende + plagioclase ± quartz, the metamorphic peak assemblage (M2) is mainly matrix composed of garnet and minerals (clinopyroxene + hornblende + plagioclase + quartz ± magnetite) and the retrograde Symplectic assemblage (M3) is generally constituted by clinopyroxene + orthopyroxene + hornblende + plagioclase + quartz ± ilmenite. The P-T conditions of the metamorphic peak (M2) were estimated to be of 11.5kbar/885°C and 12.3kbar/810°C through applying the GCPQ and GHPQ thermobarometers, respectively. The P-T conditions of the retrograde Symplectic assemblages (M3) were estimated to be of 9.0kbar/802°C and 1.8kbar/802°C, respectively, using the garnet-free hornblende-plagioclase thermometer combined with the HPQ and CPQ barometers and thus ITD P-T paths were obtained for the retrograde metamorphic process

(Figure **1b**) which is the case. Alternatively, when using the garnet rim compositions combined with the Symplectic assemblages (M3), erroneous results of 13.0kbar/770°C (GCPQ) and 11.9kbar/780°C (GHPQ) were yielded, respectively, and thus bias *P-T* paths were obtained (Figure **1b**).

2.2. Mafic Granulite Sample EP1-12

Sample EP1-12 is a garnet-bearing mafic granulite metamorphosed in the Late Neoproterozoic-Cambrian, collected from the Grove Mountains, East Antarctica [28]. The prograde assemblages (M1) are inclusion assemblages (plagioclase + hornblende + rutile) preserved in the garnet cores, and garnets and the matrix assemblages (clinopyroxene + plagioclase + hornblende + quartz) constitute the metamorphic peak assemblages (M2), and the retrograde Symplectic assemblages (M3) are fine-grained vermicular



Figure 1: Photomicropetrographs and metamorphic *P*-*T* paths of the mafic granulites. (a) Sample Lu02 and its metamorphic *P*-*T* paths (b). (c) Sample Ep1-12 and its metamorphic *P*-*T* paths (d). Mineral abbreviations: Grt, garnet; Cpx, clinopyroxene; Opx, orthopyroxene; PI, plagioclase. Thermobarometer symbols: GCPQ, the garnet – clinopyroxene geothermometer [91] combined with the garnet – clinopyroxene – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [92]; GHPQ, the plagioclase – hornblende thermometer [93] coupled with the garnet – hornblende – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [94]; HPQ, plagioclase – hornblende geothermometer [93] fixed with the hornblende – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [96]; HP-CPQ, the plagioclase – hornblende thermometer [93] coupled with the clinopyroxene – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [96]; GOPQ, the garnet – orthopyroxene geothermometer [93] GOPQ, the garnet – orthopyroxene geothermometer [95]; COPQ = the two – pyroxene geothermometer [90] paired with the clinopyroxene – plagioclase – quartz geobarometer [89].

symplectites consisted of clinopyroxene + orthopyroxene + hornblende + plagioclase rimming embayed, relict garnet porphyroblasts (Figure 1c). No chemical zoning of the garnet was detected. Thermodynamic P-T pseudosection calculation suggests that such mafic granulite has recorded a clockwise P-T path passing from 830°C/9.2kbar (M1) through 840°C/11.8kbar (M2) to 840°C/8.2kbar (M3), demonstrating the retrograde segment (M2 \rightarrow M3) being an ITD-type path [28]. The P-T conditions of the metamorphic peak (M2) were estimated using geothermobarometers to be of 11.8kbar/744°C (GCPQ) and 9.3kbar/745°C (GHPQ), respectively, and the P-T conditions of the retrograde Symplectic assemblages (M3) were estimated to be of 1kbar/708°C using the garnet-free COPQ thermobarometers. Thus the retrograde process was determined

to be an ITD *P-T* path from the M2 to M3 stages. However, when using garnet-bearing thermobarometers, erroneous *P-T* conditions of either 8.7kbar/-828°C (GHPQ) or 4.4kbar/537°C (GCPQ) or 7.4kbar/-774°C (GOPQ) for the M3 assemblages have been yielded, leading to clearly bias *P-T* paths (Figure **1d**). This again demonstrates that the porphyroblastic garnet rims should not be included in the *P-T* estimations of symplectites.

3. AMPHIBOLITES

3.1. Amphibolite Sample HB32D

Garnet-bearing amphibolites and garnet- and kyanite-bearing metapelitic gneisses are intercalated elsewhere in the late Palaeoproterozoic Zanhuang metamorphic complex, Trans-North China Orogen and this terrane had experienced upper amphibolite to metamorphism characteristic granulite facies of clockwise P-T paths including retrograde ITD segments [9] in the Palaeoproterozoic [98]. Sample HB32D was collected from the Zanhuang terrane and three generations of mineral assemblages are preserved in this sample (Figure 2a). The prograde assemblage (M1) is mainly consisted of quartz + plagioclase + biotite included within hornblende ± garnet porphyroblasts. The metamorphic peak assemblage (M2) is dominantly composed of garnet porphyroblasts and the matrix minerals (plagioclase + hornblende + quartz ± clinopyroxene ± biotite). The retrograde coronitic symplectites (M3) are consisted mainly of plagioclase + hornblende + quartz ± magnetite ± pyrite intergrowths rimming embayed, relic aarnet porphyroblasts. No chemical zonation of garnet was found. The P-T conditions of the metamorphic peak (M2) were determined to be 10.5kbar/688°C by the GHPQ and 12.8kbar/668°C by the GCPQ thermobarometers, respectively. The HPQ thermo-barometer

yielded *P*-*T* conditions of the Symplectic assemblage (M3) to be of 5.7kbar/696°C. Thus the ITD *P*-*T* path was obtained (Figure **2b**) which is the case. However, when applying the GHPQ thermobarometer using chemical compositions of the garnet rim and minerals in the symplectite, the *P*-*T* conditions of the symplectite assemblages (M3) were estimated to be of 10.6kbar/710°C, thus an erroneous, prograde *P*-*T* path of the retrograde process was retrieved (Figure **2b**).

3.2. Amphibolite Sample HN424

Sample HN424 was collected from a metamorphosed gabbroic dyke within TTG gneiss in the Lushan Terrane, southern terminal of the Palaeoproterozoic Trans-North China Orogen. Three episodes of metamorphic mineral assemblages were found in this rock (Figure 2c). The first generation assemblages (M1) are inclusion minerals (quartz + ilmenite) preserved in the garnet porphyroblasts although the *P-T* conditions cannot be determined due to lack of suitable geothermometers and geobaro-



Figure 2: Photomicropetrograph and metamorphic *P*-*T* paths of the amphibolites. (a) Sample HB32D and its *P*-*T* paths (b). (c) Sample HN424 and its *P*-*T* paths (d). Mineral abbreviations and thermobarometer symbols are the same as in Figure 1.

meters. The chemical compositions of the garnet grains are nearly homogeneous. The metamorphic peak assemblages (M2) are represented by garnet porphyroblasts and matrix minerals (plagioclase + hornblende + quartz \pm biotite) and the *P*-*T* conditions were estimated to be of 8.1kbar at 763°C by applying thermobarometer. The retrograde the GHPQ Symplectic assemblages (M3) are consisted of hornblende + plagioclase + quartz ± clinopyroxene rimming embayed, relic garnet porphyroblasts and the P-T conditions of 4.3kbar at 747°C were determined by HPQ thermobarometry. Thus, an ITD P-T path was retrieved (Figure 2d). But, when applying the GCPQ thermobarometers using both the garnet rims and the Symplectic assemblages the P-T conditions were estimated to be 9.1kbar at 618°C and thus a fake cooling and pressure-increasing P-T path was rebuilt (Figure 2d).

4. DISCUSSION

Although the absolute and random errors of the geothermobarometers used here are not discussed, these thermometers and barometers are the most reliable ones among the different versions. However, the trends of the derived P-T paths are not distorted by the inherited errors of these thermobarometers, because the errors are well below the P-T differences between the different generations of mineral assemblages formed at different metamorphic stages.

In nature, it is commonly seen that supercooled water (such as of -15°C) freezes directly at temperatures far below 0°C at 1 bar in the winter, deviating greatly below from the equilibrium state of ice and water in the P-T space, thus one cannot take it for granted that water consolidates to ice at 0°C/1bar exactly. Quite similar phenomena exist on the formation of retrograde symplectites or coronas in granulites or amphibolites. In mafic granulites, symplectites or coronas were generated by the decomposition reactions between garnet rims and adjacent matrix minerals (e.g., clinopyroxene ± orthopyroxene ± hornblende ± plagioclase ± biotite), and in many amphibolites the retrograde assemblages were also formed by the decomposition reactions between garnet rims and adjacent matrix minerals hornblende plagioclase (e.g., ± ± biotite ± clinopyroxene). Suppose that equilibrium an decomposition reaction occurs at 8 kbar and 750°C in a mafic granulite, then, in nature, when pressure decreases to well below 8 kbar (rather than at 8 kbar

exactly) at the temperature of ~750°C, the reaction may be much more easily to occur. It is thus anticipated that most decompression, decomposition reactions deviate significantly from the equilibrium reaction conditions. If one believes that the garnet rims were at thermodynamic equilibrium with the newly formed, adjacent Symplectic or coronitic minerals when a decomposition reaction occurs, then the probability may be comparable to that of a passing-by meteoroid hitting an astronomer! This is the most important reason why we cannot estimate the P-T conditions of Symplectic the assemblages using chemical compositions of both the Symplectic minerals and the garnet rims.

But we do not imply that one particular Symplectic or coronitic assemblage itself is necessarily at equilibrium, either. In fact, if there is chemical heterogeneity among different grains of the same mineral or even if there is chemical heterogeneity in one grain in the symplectite, then disequilibrium does exist in such a Symplectic assemblage. In such case P-T conditions of the symplectites or coronas cannot be determined by thermobarometers any longer.

CONCLUSION

(a) The garnet rims were hardly at, but usually deviate significantly from, thermodynamic equilibrium with the newly formed, adjacent minerals within the symplectite or corona, when the decomposition reaction occurred;

The *P-T* conditions of the (b) retrograde symplectites or coronas can only be determined by minerals formed within the symplectites or coronas themselves, and it is strongly suggested that garnet rims should be excluded from the computation. In granulites or amphibolites, P-T conditions of the symplectites or coronas can be determined by applying the two-pyroxene or hornblende-plagioclase thermometers simultaneously combined with the clinopyroxene-plagioclase-quartz hornblendeor plagioclase-quartz barometers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors sincerely thank Professors Qian Mao and Yuguang Ma for helping us in electronic microprobe analyses. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41225007).

REFERENCES

- Ernst WG. Tectonic history of subduction zones inferred from [1] retrograde blueschist P-T paths. Geology 1988; 16: 1081-1084. http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1988)016<1081:THOSZI>2.3.CO;2
- [2] Harley SL. The origins of granulites: a metamorphic perspective. Geological Magazine 1989; 126: 215-247. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0016756800022330
- [3] Brown M. P-T-t evolution of orogenic belts and the causes of regional metamorphism. Journal of the Geological Society. London 1993; 150: 227-241.
- [4] Spear FS. Metamorphic phase equilibria and metamorphic pressure- temperature-time paths (Second edition). Washington DC: Mineralogical Society of America 1995; 799 pages.
- Selverstone J. Petrologic constraints on imbrication, [5] metamorphism and uplift in the SW Tauern Window. Eastern Alps Tectonics 1985; 4: 687-704. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/TC004i007p00687
- [6] Liu SW. P-T path of granulites in the Fuping area. Geological Journal of China Universities 1996; 2: 75-84. (in Chinese)
- [7] Zhao GC, Cawood PA, Wilde SA, Lu LZ. High-pressure granulites (retrograded eclogites) from the Hengshan Complex, North China Craton: petrology and tectonic implications. Journal of Petrology 2001; 42: 1141-1170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/42.6.1141
- Guo JH, O'Brien PJ, Zhai MG. High-pressure granulites in [8] the Sanggan area. North China craton: metamorphic evolution, P-T paths and geotectonic significance. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2002; 20: 741-756. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.2002.00401.x
- Xiao LL, Wu CM, Zhao GC, Guo JH, Ren LD. Metamorphic [9] P-T paths of the Zanhuang amphibolites and metapelites: constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Paleoproterozoic Trans-North China Orogen, International Journal of Earth Sciences 2011; 100: 717-739. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.2002.00401.x
- [10] Wakabayashi J. Counterclockwise P-T-t paths from Franciscan Complex amphibolites, California: metamorphic evolution in a subduction zone. Geological Society of America Abstract with Programs 1988; 20: 240-241.
- Jin W, Li SX, Liu XS. The metamorphic dynamics of early [11] Precambrian high-grade metamorphic rocks series in Daqing-Ulashan area, Inner Monglia. Acta Petrologica Sinica 1991; 7: 27-35.(in Chinese with English abstract)
- [12] Wu ML, Zhao GC, Sun M, Yin CQ, Li SZ, Tam PY. Petrology and P-T path of the Yishui mafic granulites: Implications for tectonothermal evolution of the Western Shandong Complex in the Eastern Block of the North China Craton. Precambrian Research 2012; 222-223: 312-324. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2011.08.008
- Abati J, Arenas R, Catalán JRM, García FD. Anticlockwise [13] P-T path of granulites from the Monte Castelo Gabbro (Órdenes Complex, NW Spain). Journal of Petrology 2003; 44: 305-327. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/44.2.305
- Clarke GL, Fitzherbert JA, Milan LA, Daczko NR, Degeling [14] HS. Anti-clockwise P-T paths in the lower crust: an example from a kyanite-bearing regional aureole, George Sound, New Zealand. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2010; 28: 77-96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2009.00854.x
- Bohlen SR. Pressure-temperature-time paths and a tectonic [15] model for the evolution of granulites. Journal of Geology 1987; 95: 617-632. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/629159
- [16] Brandt S, Will TM, Klemd R. Magmatic loading in the proterozoic Epupa Complex, NW Namibia, as evidenced by

ultrahigh-temperature sapphirine-bearing orthopyroxenesillimanite-quartz granulites. Precambrian Research 2007; 153: 143-178.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2006.11.016

- Spear FS. The Gibbs method and Duhem's theorem: The [17] quantitative relationships among P.T. chemical potential, phase composition and reaction progress in igneous and metamorphic systems. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 1988; 99: 249-256. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00371465
- Spear FS, Menard T. Program GIBBS: A generalized Gibbs [18] method algorithm. American Mineralogist 1989; 74: 942-943.
- Powell R, Holland T, Worley B. Calculating phase diagrams [19] involving solid solutions via non-linear equations, with examples using THERMOCALC. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1998; 16: 577-588. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1998.00157.x
- Connolly JAD. Computation of phase equilibria by linear [20] programming: A tool for geodynamic modeling and its application to subduction zone decarbonation. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 2005; 236: 524-541. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.04.033
- Gaidies F, de Capitani C, Abart R. THERIA_G: a software [21] program to numerically model prograde garnet growth. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 2008a; 155: 657-671.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00410-007-0263-z

[22] Spear FS, Hickmott DD, Selverstone J. Metamorphic consequences of thrust emplacement, Fall Mountain, New Hampshire. Geological Society of America Bulletin 1990; 102: 1344-1360. http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0016-

7606(1990)102<1344:MCOTEF>2.3.CO;2

Wei CJ, Powell R, Zhang LF. Eclogites from the south [23] Tianshan, NW China: petrologic characteristic and calculated mineral equilibria in the Na₂O-CaO-FeO-MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-H₂O system. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2003; 21: 163-179.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.2003.00435.x

- Wei CJ, Powell R. Calculated phase relations in high-[24] pressure metapelites in the system NKFMASH (Na₂O-K₂O-FeO-MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-H₂O) with application to natural rocks. Journal of Petrology 2004; 44: 183-202. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egg085
- [25] Štípská P, Powell R. Constraining the P-T path of a MORBtype eclogite using pseudosections, garnet zoning and garnet-clinopyroxene thermometry: an example from the Bohemian Massif. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2005; 23: 725-743. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2005.00607.x
- [26] Yang JJ, Powell R. Calculated phase relations in the system Na2O-CaO-K2O-FeO-MgO-Al2O3-SiO2-H2O with applications to UHP eclogites and whiteschists. Journal of Petrology 2006; 47: 2047-2071. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egl036
- [27] Gaidies F, de Capitani C, Abart R, Schuster R. Prograde garnet growth along complex P-T-t paths: results from numerical experiments on polyphase garnet from the Wo'lz Complex (Austroalpine basement). Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 2008b; 155: 673-688. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00410-007-0264-v
- Liu XC, Hua JM, Zhao Y, Lou YX, Wei CJ, Liu XH. Late [28] Neoproterozoic/Cambrian high-pressure mafic granulites from the Grove Mountains, East Antarctica: P-T-t path, collisional orogeny and implications for assembly of East Gondwana. Precambrian Research 2009: 174: 181-199. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2009.07.001
- Nasipuri P, Bhattacharya A, Das S. Metamorphic reactions [29] in dry and aluminous granulites: a Perple_X P-T pseudosection analysis of the influence of effective reaction

volume. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 2009; 157: 301-311. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00410-008-0335-8

- [30] Dutch RA, Hand M, Kelsey DE. Unravelling the tectonothermal evolution of reworked Archean granulite facies metapelites using in situ geochronology: an example from the Gawler Craton, Australia. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2010; 28: 293-316. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2010.00867.x</u>
- [31] Wei CJ, Li YJ, Yu Y, Zhang JS. Phase equilibria and metamorphic evolution of glaucophane-bearing UHP eclogites from the Western Dabieshan Terrane, Central China. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2010; 28: 647-666. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2010.00884.x</u>
- [32] Brandt S, Schenk V, Raith M., Appel P, Gerdes A, Srikantappa C. Late Neoproterozoic P-T evolution of HP-UHT granulites from the Palni Hills (South India): New constraints from phase diagram modelling, LA-ICP-MS zircon dating and in-situ EMP monazite dating. Journal of Petrology 2011; 52: 1813-1856. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egr032</u>
- [33] Johnson TE, White RW. Phase equilibrium constraints on conditions of granulite-facies metamorphism at Scourie, NW Scotland. Journal of the Geological Society, London 2011; 168: 147-158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492010-069
- [34] Wei CJ, Clarke GL. Calculated phase equilibria for MORB compositions: a reappraisal of the metamorphic evolution of lawsonite eclogite. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2011; 29: 939-952. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2011.00948.x</u>
- [35] White RW, Powell R. On the interpretation of retrograde reaction textures in granulite facies rocks. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2011; 29: 131-149. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2010.00905.x</u>
- [36] Whitney DL, Teyssier C, Toraman E, Seaton NCA, Fayon AK. Metamorphic and tectonic evolution of a structurally continuous blueschist-to-Barrovian terrane, Sivrihisar Massif, Turkey. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2011; 29: 193-212. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2010.00915.x
- [37] Guo JH, Peng P, Chen Y, Jiao SJ, Windley BF. UHT sapphirine granulite metamorphism at 1.93–1.92 Ga caused by gabbronorite intrusions: Implications for tectonic evolution of the northern margin of the North China Craton. Precambrian Research 2012; 222-223: 124-142. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2011.07.020
- [38] Harley SL. Garnet-orthopyroxene bearing granulites from Enderby Land, Antarctica: metamorphic pressuretemperature-time evolution of the Archaean Napier Complex. Journal of Petrology 1985; 26: 819-856. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/26.4.819
- [39] Harley SL. Proterozoic granulites from the Rauer Group, east Antarctica. I. Decompressional pressure-temperature paths deduced from mafic and felsic gneisses. Journal of Petrology 1988; 29: 1059-1095. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/29.5.1059
- [40] St-Onge MR. Zoned poikiloblastic garnets: P-T paths and syn-metamorphic uplift through 30 km of structural depth, Wopmay Orogen, Canada. Journal of Petrology 1987; 28: 1-21.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/28.1.1

- [41] Carswell DA, O'Brien PJ. Thermobarometry and geotectonic significance of high-pressure granulites: examples from the Moldanubian zone of the Bohemian Massif in Lower Austria. Journal of Petrology 1993; 34: 427-459. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/34.3.427</u>
- [42] Liu XS, Jin W, Li SX, Xu XC. Two types of Precambrian highgrade metamorphism, Inner Mongolia, China. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1993; 11: 499-510. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1993.tb00167.x</u>

- [43] Zhai MG, Guo JH, Li JH, Li YG, Yan YH, Zhang WH. Retrograded eclogites in the Archaean North China Craton and their geological implication. Chinese Science Bulletin1996; 41: 315-320.
- [44] Gao J, Klemd R, Zhang L. P-T path of high pressure-low temperature rocks and tectonic implications in the western Tianshan Mountains (NW China). Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1999; 17: 621-636. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.1999.00219.x</u>
- [45] Zhao GC, Cawood PA, Lu LZ. Petrology and P-T history of the Wutai amphibolitic gneisses: Implications for tectonic evolution of the Wutai Complex, China. Precambrian Research 1999; 93: 181-199. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9268(98)00090-4</u>
- [46] Nowlan EU, Schert H-P, Schreyer W. Garnet-omphacitephengite thermobarometry of eclogites from the coesitebearing unit of the southern Dora-Maira Massif, Western Alps. Lithos 2000; 52: 197-214. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(99)00091-2
- [47] Zhao GC, Wilde SA, Cawood PA, Lu LZ. Petrology and P-T path of the Fuping mafic granulites: implications for tectonic evolution of the central zone of the North China craton. Journal of Metamorphic Geology2000; 18: 375-391. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.2000.00264.x
- [48] Lee SR, Cho M. Metamorphic and tectonic evolution of the Hwacheon Granulite Complex, central Korea: composite P-T path resulting from two distinct crustal-thickening events. Journal of Petrology 2003; 44: 197-226. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/44.2.197
- [49] Marschall HR, Kalt A, Hanel M. P–T evolution of a Variscan lower-crustal segment: a study of granulites from the Schwarzwald, Germany. Journal of Petrology 2003; 44: 227-253.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/44.2.227

- [50] Liu FL, Xu ZQ, Liou JG. Tracing the boundary between UHP and HP metamorphic belts in the southwestern Sulu terrane, eastern China: evidence from mineral inclusions in zircons from metamorphic rocks. International Geology Review 2004; 46: 409-425. http://dx.doi.org/10.2747/0020-6814.46.5.409
- [51] Chen Y, Ye K, Liu JB, Sun M. Multistage metamorphism of the Huangtuling granulite, Northern Dabie Orogen, eastern China: implications for the tectonometamorphic evolution of subducted lower continental crust. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2006; 24: 633-654. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2006.0</u>0659.x
- [52] Liu JB, Ye K, Sun M. Exhumation P-T path of UHP eclogites in the Hong'an area, western Dabie Mountains, China. Lithos 2006; 89: 154-173. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2005.12.002
- [53] Jessup MJ, Cottle JM, Searle MP, Law RD, Newell DL, Tracy RJ, Waters DJ. P-T-t-D paths of Everest Series schist, Nepal. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2008; 26: 717-739. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2008.00784.x
- [54] Ye K, Song YR, Chen Y, Xu HJ, Liu JB, Sun M. Multistage metamorphism of orogenic garnet-lherzolite from Zhimafang, Sulu UHP terrane, E. China: Implications for mantle wedge convection during progressive oceanic and continental subduction. Lithos 2009; 109: 155-175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.lithos.2008.08.005
- [55] Liu WJ, Zhai MG, Li YG. Metamorphism of the high-pressure basic granulites in Laixi, eastern Shandong, China. Acta Petrologica Sinica 1998; 14: 449-459. (in Chinese with English abstract)
- [56] Wang F, Liu FL, Liu PH, Liu JH. Metamorphic evolution of Early Precambrian khondalite series in North Shandong Province. Acta Petrologica Sinica 2010; 26: 2057-2072. (in Chinese with English abstract).

- [57] Ma J, Wang RM. Reviews in garnet-clinopyroxene geothermometers and geobarometers with their application to granulite: the comparison of Miyun (Zunhua) and Xuanhua granulite forming conditions. In: Qian XL, Wang RM (eds.) Geological Evolution of the Granulite Terrane in North Part of the North China Craton. Seismological Press, Beijing 1994; pp. 71-88. (in Chinese with English abstract)
- [58] O'Brien PJ, Walte N, Li JH. The petrology of two distinct granulite types in the Hengshan Mts, China, and tectonic implications. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 2005; 24: 615-627. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2004.01.002</u>
- [59] Green DH, Ringwood AE. An experimental investigation of the gabbro to eclogite transformation and its petrological applications. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 1967; 31: 767-833.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(67)80031-0

- [60] Cooke RA, O'Brien PJ. Resolving the relationship between high P-T rocks and gneisses in collisional terranes: an example from the Gföhl gneiss–granulite association in the Moldanubian Zone, Austria. Lithos 2001; 58: 33-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(01)00049-4
- [61] Boullier A-M, Barbey P. A polycyclic two-stage corona growth in the Iforas Granulitic Unit (Mali). Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1988; 6: 235-254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1988.tb00417.x
- [62] Sandiford M, Powell R Martin SF, Perera LRK. Thermal and baric evolution of garnet granulites from Sri Lanka. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1988; 6: 351-364. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1988.tb00425.x</u>
- [63] Mengel F, Rivers T. Decompression reactions and P-T conditions in high-grade rocks, northern Labrador: P-T-t paths from individual samples and implications for early Proterozoic tectonic evolution. Journal of Petrology 1991; 32: 139-167.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/32.1.139

- [64] Kumar GRR, Chacko T. Geothermobarometry of mafic granulites and metapelite from the Palghat Gap, South India: petrological evidence for isothermal uplift and rapid cooling. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1994; 12: 479-492. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1994.tb00037.x</u>
- [65] Owen JV, Dostal J. Contrasting corona structures in mafic granulite from the Blansky Les complex, Bohemian massif, Czech Republic. Canadian Mineralogist 1996; 34: 959-966.
- [66] Baba S. Proterozoic anticlockwise P-T path of the Lewisian Complex of South Harris, Outer Hebrides, NW Scotland. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1998; 16: 819-841. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1998.00163.x</u>
- [67] Galán G, Marcos A. The metamorphic evolution of the high pressure mafic granulites of the Bacariza Formation Zcabo Ortegal Complex, Hercynian belt, NW Spain. Lithos 2000; 54: 139-171. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(00)00020-7
- [68] Hölttä P, Paavola J. P-T-t development of Archaean granulites in Varpaisjärvi, Central Finland. I. Effects of multiple metamorphism on the reaction history of mafic rocks. Lithos 2000; 50: 97-120. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(99)00056-0
- [69] Bendaoud A, Ouzegane K, Kienast J-R. Textures and phase relationships in ferrous granulites from Tidjenouine (Hoggar, Algeria): fayalite–ferrossilite–quartz secondary assemblage. Journal of African Earth Sciences 2003; 37: 241-255. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jafrearsci.2003.05.005
- [70] Bhowmik SK, Roy A. Garnetiferous Metabasites from the Sausar Mobile Belt: Petrology, P-T Path and Implications for the Tectonothermal Evolution of the Central Indian Tectonic Zone. Journal of Petrology 2003; 44: 387-420. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/44.3.387</u>

- [71] Elvevold S, Thrane K, Gilotti JA. Metamorphic history of highpressure granulites in Payer Land, Greenland Caledonides. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2003; 21: 49-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.2003.00419.x
- [72] Azimov PY, Bushmin SA. P-T history of the HT/HP granulite metamorphism associated with thrusting in a junction zone between the Porya Guba and Umba blocks, Lapland Granulite Belt (Northeastern Baltic Shield). Doklady Earth Sciences 2009; 425: 373-397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1028334X09030106
- [73] Gross AOMS, Droop GTR, Porcher CC, Fernandes LAD. Petrology and thermobarometry of mafic granulites and migmatites from the Chafalote Metamorphic Suite: New insights into the Neoproterozoic P–T evolution of the Uruguayan–Sul-Rio-Grandense shield. Precambrian Research 2009; 170: 157-174. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2009.01.011
- [74] Prakash D, Prakash S, Sachan HK. Petrological evolution of the high pressure and ultrahigh-temperature mafic granulites from Karur, southern India: evidence for decompressive and cooling retrograde trajectories. Mineralogy and Petrology 2010; 100: 35-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00710-010-0123-9
- [75] Janák M, O'Brien PJ, Hurai V, Reutel C. Metamorphic evolution and fluid composition of garnet-clinopyroxene amphibolites from the Tatra Mountains, Western Carpathians. Lithos 1996; 39: 57-79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(96)00019-9
- [76] Zhang ZJ. Metamorphic evolution of garnet–clinopyroxene– amphibole rocks from the Proterozoic Songshugou mafic– ultramafic complex, Qinling Mountains, central China. The Island Arc 1999; 8: 259-280. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/i.1440-1738.1999.00236.x</u>
- [77] Shervais JW, Dennis AJ, McGee JJ, Secor D. Deep in the heart of Dixie: Pre-Alleghanian eclogite and HP granulite metamorphism in the Carolina Terrane, South Carolina, USA. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 2003; 21: 65-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.2003.00416.x
- [78] Storey CD, Brewer TS, Temperley S. P-T conditions of Grenville-age eclogite facies metamorphism and amphibolite facies retrogression of the Glenelg–Attadale Inlier, NW Scotland. Geological Magazine 2005; 142: 605-615. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S001675680500110X</u>
- [79] Kim SW, Oh CW, Williams IS, Rubatto D, Ryu I-C, Rajesh VJ, et al. Phanerozoic high-pressure eclogite and intermediate-pressure granulite facies metamorphism in the Gyeonggi Massif, South Korea: implications for the eastward extension of the Dabie–Sulu continental collision zone. Lithos 2006; 92: 357-377. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.lithos.2006.03.050
- [80] Mohammad YO, Cornell DH, Danielsson E, Hegardt EA, Anczkiewicz R. Mg-rich staurolite and kyanite inclusions in metabasic garnet amphibolite from the Swedish Eastern Segment: evidence for a Mesoproterozoic subduction event.

European Journal of Mineralogy 2011; 23: 609-631. http://dx.doi.org/10.1127/0935-1221/2011/0023-2128

- [81] Sommer H, Hauzenberger C, Kröner A, Muhongo S. Isothermal decompression history in the "Western Granulite" terrain, central Tanzania: Evidence from reaction textures and trapped fluids in metapelites. Journal of African Earth Sciences 2008; 51: 123-144. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2008.01.003
- [82] Acquafredda P, Fornelli A, Paglionico A, Piccarreta G. Petrological evidence for crustal thickening and extension in the Serre granulite terrane (Calabria, southern Italy). Geological Magazine 2006; 143: 145-163. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0016756805001482</u>
- [83] Galli A, Le Bayon B, Schmidt MW, Burg J-P, Caddick MJ, Reusser E. Granulites and charnockites of the Gruf Complex: Evidence for Permian ultra-high temperature metamorphism

in the Central Alps. Lithos 2011; 124: 17-45. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2010.08.003

[84] Jöns N, Schenk V. The ultrahigh temperature granulites of southern Madagascar in a polymetamorphic context: implications for the amalgamation of the Gondwana supercontinent. European Journal of Mineralogy 2011; 23: 127-156.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1127/0935-1221/2011/0023-2087

- [85] Nichols GT, Berry RF, Green DH. Internally consistent gahnitic spinel-cordierite-garnet equilibria in the FMASHZn system: geothermobarometry and applications. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 1992; 111: 362-377. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00311197</u>
- [86] Zhao GC, Wilde SA, Cawood PA, Lu LZ. Thermal evolution of Archean basement rocks from the eastern part of the North China Craton and its bearing on tectonic setting. International Geology Review 1998; 40: 706-721. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00206819809465233</u>
- [87] Zhao GC, Sun M, Wilde SA, Li SZ. Neoarchaean to Palaeoproterozoic evolution of the North China Craton: key issues revisited. Journal of Petrology 2005; 136: 177-202.
- [88] Whitney DL, Evans BDW. Abbreviations for names of rockforming minerals. American Mineralogist 2010; 95: 185-187. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2010.3371</u>
- [89] McCarthy TC, Patino Douce AE. Empirical calibration of the silica-Ca-tschermak's-anorthite (SCAn) geobarometer. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1998; 16: 675-686. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1998.00164.x</u>
- [90] Taylor WR. An experimental test of some geothermometer and geobarometer formulations for upper mantle peridotites with application to the thermobarometry of fertile Iherzolites and garnet websterite. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie Abhandlungen 1998; 172: 381-408.
- [91] Ravna EK. The garnet-clinopyroxene geothermometer-an updated calibration. Journal of Metamorphic Geology; 2000; 18: 211-219. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1314.2000.00247.x</u>

[92] Eckert JO Jr, Newton RC, Kleppa OJ. The ∆H of reaction and recalibration of garnet-pyroxene-plagioclase-quartz geobarometers in the CMAS system by solution calorimetry. American Mineralogist 1991; 76: 148-160.

- [93] Holland TJB, Blundy JD. Non-ideal interactions in calcic amphiboles and their bearing on amphibole-plagioclase thermometry. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 1994;,116: 433-447. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00310910
- [94] Dale J, Holland T, Powell R. Hornblende-garnet-plagioclase thermobarometry: a natural assemblage calibration of the thermodynamics of hornblende. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 2000; 140: 353-362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004100000187
- [95] Lal RK. Internally consistent recalibrations of mineral equilibria for geothermobarometry involving garnetorthopyroxene-plagioclase-quartz assemblages and their application to the South Indian granulites. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 1993; 11: 855-866. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1993.tb00195.x</u>
- [96] Bhadra S, Bhattacharya A. The barometer tremolite + tschermakite + 2 albite = 2 pargasite + 8 quartz: constraints from experimental data at unit silica activity, with application to garnet–free natural assemblages. American Mineralogist 2007; 92: 491-502. http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2007.2067
- [97] Droop GTR. A general equation for estimating Fe³⁺ concentrations in ferromagnesian silicates and oxides from microprobe analyses, using stoichiometric criteria. Mineralogical Magazine 1987; 25: 431-435. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1180/minmag.1987.051.361.10</u>
- [98] Xiao LL, Wang GD, Wang H, Jiang ZS, Diwu CR and Wu CM. Zircon U-Pb geochronology of the Zanhuang metamorphic complex: reappraisal of the Paleoproterozoic amalgamation of the Trans-North China Orogen. Geological Magazine 2013; 150: 756-764. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S001675681300006X

Published on 15-01-2015

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15377/2409-5710.2014.01.02.3

© 2014 Wu et al.; Avanti Publishers.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</u>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

Accepted on 04-10-2014

Received on 02-09-2014