# Mass Transfer of Oxygen and Power Consumption with Highly Viscous Liquid in Gas-Liquid Agitated Vessel

Haruki Furukawa<sup>\*,1</sup>, Kento Matsumoto<sup>1</sup>, Yoshihito Kato<sup>1</sup>, Masataka Kamiya<sup>2</sup>, Masahiro Haitsuka<sup>2</sup> and Noboru Kamei<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Life Science and Applied Chemistry, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi, 466-8555, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Daicel corporation, 1239, Shinzaike, Aboshi, Himeji, Hyogo 671-1283, Japan

**Abstract:** The gas-liquid mass transfer volumetric coefficient ( $K_La$ ) and power consumption under aeration are important parameters for designing gas-liquid agitated vessel. In this work,  $K_La$  was calculated by measuring the dissolved oxygen concentration and the mixing power consumption was calculated by measuring shaft torque in the aerated mixing vessel equipped with several types of large paddle impeller. It was found that the aerated mixing power consumption with the large paddle impellers did not decrease largely, because the large cavity was not formed behind the impeller blade. Then,  $K_La$  of large paddle impellers used was correlated with a modified equation of Sato *et al.* The intercept of Sato's equation was correlated with the viscosity of CMC aqueous solution.  $K_La$  of large paddle impellers is estimated by using the modified Sato's equation over a wide range of viscosity.

Keywords: Mixing, aeration, oxygen absorption, large paddle impeller.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

There are many publications of the operating characteristics for gas-liquid mixing vessels. The major problem is the decrease of the mixing power consumption under aeration with the Rushton turbine which is used as the standard impeller for gas-liquid mixing [1, 2]. The gas-liquid mixing is conducted when mixing is rate controlling step. Therefore, there are many correlations of the gas-liquid mass transfer volumetric coefficient  $(K_{L}a)$  based on the power consumption per unit volume without considering bubble behavior. Most publications referred to the Rushton turbine, because the Rushton turbine was used as the standard impeller in gas-liquid mixing up to now. In recent years, many large paddle impellers that do not have a disk for holding bubbles from the vessel bottom, were developed by Japanese companies, such as Maxblend (MB), Fullzone (FZ), Supermix MR205 (MR205), Sammeler (SM), Hi-F mixer (HiF) and Homebase type impeller (HB). Some publications for the large paddle impeller have reported about the gas absorption from the liquid free surface for gas-liquid mixing [3], and Dohi et al. [4] have reported about the aerated mixing. Takahashi et al. [5, 6] and Authors [7] showed that the large paddle impeller did not largely decrease the power consumption under aeration. Aida and Shono [8] proposed the correlation of power consumption under aeration.

Kamei *et al.* [9] showed that a ring sparger whose diameter was larger than the impeller diameter prevented the reduction of the power consumption under aeration and led to the good dispersion of bubbles. This approach was based on the inhibition of the generation of the large cavity formed behind the impeller blade and the prevention of the reduction of the power consumption under aeration. The gas-liquid mass transfer volumetric coefficient ( $K_La$ ) measured in this approach was correlated with the equation of Sato *et al.* [10]:

$$K_{\rm L}a = 1.8 \times 10^{-4} \{P_{\rm av}(1/3P_{\rm av} + P_{\rm gv})\}^{0.5}$$
(1)

where  $P_{av}$  (= $\rho g H Q/V$ ),  $P_{gv}$ ,  $\rho$ , g, H, Q and V are the aeration power consumption per unit volume, the mixing power consumption per unit volume under aeration, the liquid density, the gravitational acceleration, the liquid depth, the gas flow rate and the liquid volume, respectively.

In our previous paper [7], authors showed that Eq. (1) was valid in air-water system with the large paddle impeller, Eq.(1) was not valid in air-CMC aq. system. However, the correlation of Hiraoka *et al.* [11] was valid in air-CMC aq. system without laminar region. The correlation of Hiraoka *et al.* [11] with the viscosity term is Eq. (2).

$$K_{L}a = (K_{L}a)_{a} + (K_{L}a)_{g}$$
(2)  
$$(K_{L}a)_{a} = 0.039 P_{av} \mu^{-1/3} \sigma^{-2/3} D_{L}^{-1/2}$$
(K\_{L}a)\_{g} = 0.12 P\_{av}^{-0.12} P\_{gv}^{-0.70} \mu^{-0.25} \sigma^{-0.6} D\_{L}^{-0.5}

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Life Science and Applied Chemistry, Nagoya Institute of Tecnology, Gokiso-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi, 466-8555, Japan; Tel: +81-52-735-5213; Fax: 052-735-5255; E-mail: furukawa.haruki@nitech.ac.jp

where  $(K_La)_a$ ,  $(K_La)_g$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $D_L$  are the mass transfer volumetric coefficient by aeration, the mass transfer volumetric coefficient by aerated mixing, the liquid viscosity, the surface tension and the diffusivity of oxygen in liquid, respectively.

In the present work, other various large paddle impellers which had the good mixing performance were used in the gas-liquid mixing vessel, and the effects of these impellers on the power consumption under aeration and  $K_{L}a$  were measured and  $K_{L}a$  were correlated with a modified equation of Sato *et al.* 

# 2. EXPERIMENTAL

Figures **1** and **2** show the dimensions of the mixing vessel and the large paddle impellers used in this work, where *b*,  $B_W$ , *d*, and *D* are the height of impeller blade, the baffle width, the impeller diameter, and the vessel diameter (0.185m), respectively. The mixing vessel was the 10% dished bottom cylindrical vessel made of



Figure 1: Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus with Maxblend (MB).

acrylic resin. The baffle width  $B_W$  was equal to 10% of the vessel diameter (0.019m), and baffles were attached to the vessel wall. The mixing fluids were the deionized water( $\rho$ =999 kg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$ =1.0 mPa·s) and the carboxymethyl-cellulose (CMC) aqueous solution as highly viscous fluid. These viscosities were measured with viscometer(HAAKE VT 550). CMC 0.2wt% aq. solution ( $\rho$ =999 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) and CMC 1.2wt% aq. solution ( $\rho$ =1005 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) were Newtonian fluids and CMC 2.4wt% aq. solution ( $\rho$ =1008 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) was shear thinning

igure 2. Large paddie imperiers.

fluid shown in Figure **3**. As shown in Table **1**, the diffusivity of the oxygen in CMC aq. solution was



Figure 3: Rheological property of CMC aqueous solutions.



approximately equal to that in water [12, 13]. This is the very important physical property. *H* was 1.1 times of the vessel diameter (0.204m). Two sparger pipes were set at the vessel wall, the external diameter of the sparger pipe was 8mm and the internal diameter was 6mm. The power consumption was measured by the shaft torque measurement method with the torque meter (ST-3000, SATAKE Ltd.). Dissolved oxygen concentration was measured with the optical dissolved oxygen meter (VISIFERM DO ARC 120, HAMILTON).  $K_{L}a$  was calculated the DO concentration by previous work [14].

 Table 1: Physical Properties of Water and CMC aq.

 Solution

Liquids	Q	μ	σ	<i>D</i> <sub>L</sub> *
	[kg/m³]	[mPa·s]	[N/m]	[m²/s]
Water	996	0.802	0.071	2.60×10 <sup>-9</sup>
CMC 0.2wt%	996	5.90	0.071	2.55×10 <sup>-9</sup>
CMC 0.5wt%	998	12.7	0.070	2.50×10 <sup>-9</sup>
CMC 1.0wt%	1000	46.9	0.069	2.47×10 <sup>-9</sup>
CMC 1.5wt%	1002	119.0	0.069	2.42×10 <sup>-9</sup>

\*Nishikawa et al. [12]

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1. Power Consumption under Aeration

Figure **4** shows the effect of aeration on power consumption for MB, FZ, MR205, HB, SM and HiF in air-water system (*n*=250rpm, *n*<sub>B</sub>=2), where *n*, *n*<sub>B</sub>, *N*<sub>A</sub> (= $Q/nd^3$ ), *P*<sub>0</sub> and *P*<sub>g</sub> are the impeller rotational speed, the number of baffle, the aeration number, the power



Figure 4: Effect of aeration on power consumption in airwater system.

consumption under no aeration and the power consumption under aeration, respectively.  $P_0$  of MB, FZ, MR205, HB, SM and HiF were 5.4, 4.0, 8.6, 5.1, 3.3 and 3.4W, respectively.  $P_q/P_0$  decreased as  $N_A$ 

increased. The reduction of  $P_g/P_0$  was about 0.85 at the maximum and that was small compared with Rushton turbine. Because the large paddle impellers were operated under low rotational speed, the large cavity behind the impeller wasn't formed [7].

Figure **5** shows the effect of aeration on power consumption for MB, FZ, MR205, HB, SM and HiF in air-CMC 0.2wt% aq. system (*n*=250rpm, *n*<sub>B</sub>=2,  $\mu$ =7.5mPa·s). *P*<sub>0</sub> of MB, FZ, MR205, HB, SM and HiF were 5.5, 3.9, 8.4, 5.1, 3.3 and 3.5W, respectively. *P*<sub>g</sub>/*P*<sub>0</sub> decreased as *N*<sub>A</sub> increased. The reduction of *P*<sub>g</sub>/*P*<sub>0</sub> was about 0.85 at the maximum.



Figure 5: Effect of aeration on power consumption in air-CMC 0.2wt% aq. system.

Figure **6** shows the effect of aeration on power consumption for MB, FZ, MR205, HB, SM and HiF in air-CMC 2.4wt% aq. system (*n*=250rpm,  $n_{\rm B}$ =0).  $P_0$  of MB, FZ, MR205, HB, SM and HiF were 6.9, 5.5, 9.4, 5.9, 4.7 and 5.7W, respectively. The apparent liquid viscosity  $\mu_{\rm app}$  of MB, FZ, MR205, HB, SM and HiF were 0.46, 0.55, 0.78, 0.41, 0.64 and 0.72Pa·s, respectively.  $\mu_{\rm app}$  were estimated with the method of Metzner and Otto [15].  $P_{\rm g}/P_0$  decreased as  $N_{\rm A}$  increased. The reduction of  $P_{\rm g}/P_0$  was about 0.9 at the maximum.



Figure 6: Effect of aeration on power consumption in air-CMC 2.4wt% aq. system.

From the results shown in Figures **5** and **6**, it was found that the large paddle impellers did not largely decrease the aerated power consumption, because the large cavity was not formed behind the impeller blade and the size of that cavity did not increase with viscosity in highly viscous liquid in spite of the gas flow rate [16]. Moreover, the behavior of  $P_g/P_0$  without baffle was the same as the behavior of that with baffle under each viscosity.

# 3.2 Gas-Liquid Mass Transfer Volumetric Coefficient ( $K_La$ )

Figure **7** shows the correlation between  $K_La$  and the aerated mixing power consumption for various large paddle impellers in air-water system ( $n_B=2$ ), where the solid line is Eq.(1). In this case, Eq.(1) was valid in air-water system and the intercept *C* of Eq.(1) was equal to  $1.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{J}^{-1}$ .



Figure 7: Correlation of *K*<sub>L</sub>*a* in air-water system.

Figure **8** shows the correlation between  $K_La$  and the aerated mixing power consumption for various large paddle impellers in air-CMC 0.2wt% aq. system ( $n_B$ =2), where the dotted line is Eq. (1). In this case,  $K_La$  in CMC 0.2wt% aq. system were slightly lower than those



**Figure 8:** Correlation of  $K_{L}a$  in air-CMC 0.2wt% aq. system (7.5 mPa·s).

in air-water system. However, the slope of the correlation line was the same as Eq.(1) when C was equal to  $1.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{J}^{-1}$  as shown by the red solid line.

Figure **9** shows the correlation between  $K_La$  and the aerated mixing power consumption for various large paddle impellers in air-CMC 2.4wt% aq. system ( $n_B=0$ ), where the dotted line is Eq.(1). In this case,  $K_La$  in CMC 2.4wt% aq. system were quite lower than those in air-water system and *C* was equal to  $1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{J}^{-1}$  (red solid line).



Figure 9: Correlation of K<sub>L</sub>a in air-CMC 2.4wt% aq. system.

It was considered that the reduction of  $K_L a$  shown in Figures 8 and 9 was caused by increase of the liquid phase film thickness with viscosity.  $k_L$  decreased due to increase of film thickness and that decrease of  $k_L$  was larger than the variety of *a*. As a result,  $K_L a$  was decreased.

Otherwise, it was considered that because the slope of the correlation lines was the same as shown in Figures **6**, **7** and **8**, the same equation will be used to correlate the mass transfer volumetric coefficient by using modified *C*. The intercept *C* in Eq. (1) was modified with the viscosity of CMC aq. solution, because  $K_La$  was influenced by the viscosity shown in Figures **8** and **9**. The intercept *C* can be correlated with following Eq. (3).

$$C = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \mu^{-0.41} \tag{3}$$

where  $\mu$  is liquid viscosity  $\mu$  or apparent viscosity  $\mu_{app}$ . The result was shown in Figure **10**. The plots of the viscosity from 0.40 to 0.70 Pa · s were  $\mu_{app}$  which was calculated for each impeller. By using Eq.(3), the modified Eq.(1) was shown as follows:

$$K_{\rm L}a = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \mu^{-0.41} \{ P_{\rm av}(1/3P_{\rm av} + P_{\rm gv}) \}^{0.5}$$
(4)



Figure 10: Correlation of constant of Eq. (1) with viscosity.

Figure **11** shows the correlation between  $K_La$  calculated with Eq. (4) and  $K_La$  observed with various large paddle impellers in air-water and air-CMC aq. system.  $K_La$  were correlated with Eq. (4) for all systems in spite of the kind of large paddle impeller.



**Figure 11:** Correlation of  $K_{L}a$  in air-water and air-CMC aq. system.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

The aerated power consumption and  $K_La$  in gasliquid mixing vessel with various large paddle impellers were measured experimentally using several viscosity liquids. The large paddle impellers did not largely decrease the aerated mixing power consumption as well as the concave turbine. Further investigation needs to understand the difference of the behavior of the  $P_g/P_0$  in each impeller.  $K_La$  in air-water, air-CMC 0.2wt% aq. and air-CMC 2.4wt% aq. system with various large paddle impellers were roughly correlated with the modified equation of Sato *et al.* that the intercept was correlated with the viscosity of CMC aq. solution.

## REFERENCES

- Oyama, Y, Endoh K. Power Characteristics of Gas-Liquid Contacting Mixer. Kagaku Kogaku 1955; 19: 2-8. <u>http://doi.org/10.1252/kakoronbunshu1953.19.2</u>
- [2] Calderbank PH. Physical Rate Processes in Industrial Fermentation Part I: The Interfacial Area in Gas-Liquid Contacting with Mechanical Agitation. Trans IChemE 1958; 36: 443-463.
- [3] Yatomi R, Takenaka K, Takahashi K, Tanguy PA. Mass Transfer Characteristics by Surface Aeration of Large Paddle Impeller: Application to a Polymerization Reactor with Liquid Level Change. J Chem Eng Japan 2009; 40: 393-397. <u>http://doi.org/10.1252/jcej.40.393</u>
- [4] Dohi N, Takahashi T, Minekawa K, Kawase Y. Gas-liquid mass transfer characteristics of large-scale impellers: Empirical correlations of gas holdups and volumetric mass transfer coefficients in stirred tanks. Chem Eng Comm 2006; 193: 689-701. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00986440500265885
- [5] Takahashi K, Tanimoto I, Sekine H, Takahata Y. Gas Dispersion in Agitated Vessel Equipped with Large Impeller. J Chem Eng Japan 2014; 47: 717-722. http://doi.org/10.1252/icei.13we338
- [6] Takahashi K, Ashino C, Takahata Y. Effect of Gas Dispersion on Mixing Time of Liquid in an Agitated Vessel under Laminar Flow Condition. J Chem Eng Japan 2015; 48: 403-406.
  - http://doi.org/10.1252/jcej.14we042
- [7] Haitsuka M, Kamei N, Kato Y, Kamiya M, Furukawa H, Nagumo R. Power Consumption and Mass Transfer of High Viscosity Liquid in Gas-Liquid Mixing Vessel with Large Paddle Impeller. Kagaku Kougaku Ronbunshu 2016; 42: 174-178.

http://doi.org/10.1252/kakoronbunshu.42.174

- [8] Aida M, Shono A. Estimation of Power Consumption in Gas-Liquid Stirred Vessels with Various Types of Impellers. Kagaku Kogaku Ronbunshu 2014; 40: 366-371. <u>http://doi.org/10.1252/kakoronbunshu.40.366</u>
- [9] Kamei N, Kato Y, Tada Y, Ando J, Nagatsu Y. Effects of Sparger Geometry on Power Consumption and Mass Transfer in a Gas-Liquid Agitated Vessel with Disk Turbine. J Chem Eng Japan 2009; 42: 664-668. http://doi.org/10.1252/jcei.09we110
- [10] Sato K, Shimada H, Yoshino Z. Gas Absorption Efficiency of Gas-Liquid Contactors with Mechanical Agitation. Kagaku Kogaku Ronbunshu 1989; 15: 733-739. <u>http://doi.org/10.1252/kakoronbunshu.15.733</u>
- [11] Hiraoka S, Tada Y, Kato Y, Ukai Y, Sasaki J, Yamaguchi T, Matsuura A. Power Consumption and Gas-Liquid Mass Transfer Volumetric Coefficient in an Agitated Vessel with Pitched Wire-Gauze Blade Impeller under Aeration. J Chem Eng Japan 2003; 36: 333-338. <u>http://doi.org/10.1252/icei.36.333</u>
- [12] Nishikawa M, Nakamura M, Hashimoto K. Gas Absorption in Aerated Mixing Vessels with Non-Newtonian Liquid. J Chem Eng Japan 1981; 14: 227-232. <u>http://doi.org/10.1252/icei.14.227</u>
- [13] Hiraoka S, Kato Y, Tada Y, Kai S, Inoue N, Ukai Y. Power Consumption and Gas-Liquid Mass Transfer Volumetric Coefficient in a Mechanically Agitated Vessel with Wire-Gauze Impeller. J Chem Eng Japan 2001; 34: 600-605. <u>http://doi.org/10.1252/jcej.34.600</u>
- [14] Kato Y. Calculation method and experimental method for the design and operation of mixing equipment. Tokyo: Johokiko 2015; pp.184–185.
- [15] Metzner AB, Otto RE. Agitation of Non-Newtonian Fluids. AIChE J 1957; 3: 3-10. <u>http://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690030103</u>

[16] Kuriyama M, Tokanai H, Harada E. Volumetric Mass Transfer Coefficient and Power Consumption in Aerated Agitated Vessels with Higly Viscous Liquids. Kagaku Kougaku Ronbunshu 2002; 28: 188-195.

Received on 04-07-2017

Accepted on 27-08-2017

Published on 31-08-2017

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15377/2409-983X.2017.04.01.1

© 2017 Furukawa et al.; Avanti Publishers.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</u>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.